Dear author,

Please note that changes made in the online proofing system will be added to the article before publication but are not reflected in this PDF.

We also ask that this file not be used for submitting corrections.

Original article

# Egg consumption and cardiovascular disease according to diabetic status: The PREDIMED study[☆]

J. Díez-Espino [a, b, c, d, e], F.J. Basterra-Gortari [b, c, d, e, f, g], J. Salas-Salvadó [c, d, h, i],
P. Buil-Cosiales [a, b, c, d, e], D. Corella [e, j], H. Schröder [e, k, l, m], R. Estruch [c, e, n], E. Ros [c, e, o],
E. Gómez-Gracia [c, e, p], F. Arós [c, e, q], M. Fiol [e, r], J. Lapetra [e, s], L. Serra-Majem [c, e, t],
X. Pintó [c, e, u], N. Babio [c, e, h, i], L. Quiles [c, e, j], M. Fito [c, e, k, l, m], A. Marti [c, d, e, v],
E. Toledo [b, c, d, e, *], for the PREDIMED Investigators[1]

[a] Primary Health Care, Servicio Navarro de Salud-Osasunbidea, Pamplona, Navarra, Spain
[b] Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, University of Navarra-School of Medicine, Pamplona, Navarra, Spain
[c] The PREDIMED (Prevención con Dieta Mediterránea) Research Network (RD 06/0045), Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII), Madrid, Spain
[d] Navarra Institute for Health Research (IdiSNA), Pamplona, Navarra, Spain
[e] Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutrición (CIBEROBN), ISCIII, Madrid, Spain
[f] Servicio Navarro de Salud-Osasunbidea, Pamplona, Navarra, Spain
[g] Hospital de Tudela, Department of Endocrinology, Spain
[h] Human Nutrition Unit, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Biochemistry Biotechnology Department, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Spain
[i] Hospital Universitari de Sant Joan de Reus, IISPV, Reus, Spain
[j] Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain
[k] University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
[l] Cardiovascular and Nutrition Research Group, Institut de Recerca Hospital del Mar, Spain
[m] Primary Care Division of Barcelona, Institut Català de la Salut and IDiap-Jordi Gol, Barcelona, Cataluña, Spain
[n] Department of Internal Medicine, Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi I Sunyer, Hospital Clinic, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
[o] Lipid Clinic, Department of Endocrinology and Nutrition, Institutd'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi I Sunyer, Hospital Clinic, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
[p] Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Malaga, Malaga, Spain
[q] University Hospital of Alava, Vitoria, Basque Country, Spain
[r] Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Palma (IdISPa), Hospital Universitario Son Espases, Palma de Mallorca, Spain
[s] Department of Family Medicine, Primary Care Division of Sevilla, Sevilla, Spain
[t] Research Institute of Biomedical and Health Sciences, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Las Palmas, Spain
[u] Lipids and Vascular Risk Unit, Internal Medicine, Hospital Universitario de Bellvitge-IDIBELL-UB, Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain
[v] Department of Nutrition and Food Sciences, University of Navarra, Pamplona, Navarra, Spain

## ARTICLE INFO

## SUMMARY

Background: Eggs are a major source of dietary cholesterol and their consumption has been sometimes discouraged. A relationship between egg consumption and the incidence of cardiovascular disease (CVD) has been suggested to be present exclusively among patients with type-2 diabetes.
Aims: To assess the association between egg consumption and CVD in a large Mediterranean cohort where approximately 50% of participants had type 2 diabetes.
Methods: We prospectively followed 7216 participants (55—80 years old) at high cardiovascular risk from the PREDIMED (PREvención con DIeta MEDiterránea) study for a mean of 5.8 years. All participants were initially free of CVD. Yearly repeated measurements of dietary information with a validated 137-item food-frequency questionnaire were used to assess egg consumption and other dietary exposures. The endpoint was the rate of major cardiovascular events (myocardial infarction, stroke or death from cardiovascular causes).

---

*Results:* A major cardiovascular event occurred in 342 participants. Baseline egg consumption was not significantly associated with cardiovascular events in the total population. Non-diabetic participants who ate on average >4 eggs/week had a hazard ratio (HR) of 0.96 (95% confidence interval, 0.33−2.76) in the fully adjusted multivariable model when compared with non-diabetic participants who reported the lowest egg consumption (<2 eggs/week). Among diabetic participants, the HR was 1.33 (0.72−2.46). There was no evidence of interaction by diabetic status. HRs per 500 eggs of cumulative consumption during follow-up were 0.94 (0.66−1.33) in non-diabetics and 1.18 (0.90−1.55) in diabetics.
*Conclusions:* Low to moderated egg consumption was not associated with an increased CVD risk in diabetic or non-diabetic individuals at high cardiovascular risk. This trial was registered at controlled-trials.com as ISRCTN35739639.

## 1. Introduction

Eggs are a major source of dietary cholesterol. However, they are also an inexpensive source of unsaturated fat, high-quality protein, folate, and other vitamins and minerals [1,2]. Dietary cholesterol contributes modestly to plasma concentration of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol [3], an well-known risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD) [4]. Dietary cholesterol has also been directly associated with a higher risk of CVD [5]. Because egg yolk is rich in cholesterol, egg consumption is usually not recommended to subjects with hypercholesterolemia, high cardiovascular risk or established CVD [6,7].

Egg consumption was directly associated with carotid plaque area in a cross-sectional study conducted among Canadian subjects at high cardiovascular risk [8], but not in a cohort of middle-aged Finnish participants without coronary heart disease [9]. On the other hand, the association between egg consumption and the risk of CVD is controversial. Li et al. [10], found in a meta-analysis that egg consumption was directly associated with CVD. However, in another meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies, Shin et al. [11], found that egg consumption was not associated with CVD risk in the general population. In a third meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies, Rong et al. [12], reported that higher consumption of eggs was also unassociated with coronary heart disease or stroke. Interestingly, the three meta-analyses consistently found a direct association between egg consumption and CVD in diabetic individuals. However, most studies included in these meta-analyses were from the U.S. and other Western countries, but studies from Mediterranean countries are scarce [13].

The PREDIMED study provides a unique opportunity to assess prospectively the association between egg consumption and CVD in participants who were at high cardiovascular risk, nearly 50% of whom had type 2 diabetes. This half-and-half distribution of exposure to diabetes allowed us to obtain an ideal setting to ascertain the association between egg consumption and CVD incidence according to diabetic status. We aimed to examine the association between egg consumption and CVD in a high cardiovascular risk cohort stratified by diabetic status.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Study design and subjects

The current cohort study was conducted within the framework of the PREDIMED study (PREvención con DIeta MEDiterránea). The PREDIMED study is a parallel-group, multicenter, randomized, and controlled field trial. Details of the trial design have been published elsewhere [14,15]. The primary aim of the trial was to test the efficacy of two Mediterranean diets (enriched with extra-virgin olive oil or mixed nuts), compared to advice on a control (low-fat) diet, on primary cardiovascular events [16] (www.predimed.es) (registered in controlled-trials: ISRCTN35739639). A total of 7447 participants were enrolled between October 2003 and June 2009 in primary care centers by their family practitioners.

Eligible participants were men aged 55−80 years and women aged 60−80 years who were free of CVD at baseline and had either type 2 diabetes or at least three of the following cardiovascular risk factors: hypertension (blood pressure >140/90 or treatment with antihypertensive medication); elevated LDL cholesterol concentration ($\geq$160 mg/dl or lipid lowering therapy); low high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol concentration ($\leq$40 mg/dl in men or $\leq$50 mg/dl in women); obesity or overweight; current smoking; and family history of premature coronary heart disease. All participants provided written informed consent to a protocol approved by Institutional Review Boards of all participating PREDIMED centers at study inception.

We excluded participants with missing baseline dietary information (n = 78) or whose caloric intakes were outside of predefined limits (<800 or >4000 kcal/day for men and <500 or >3500 kcal/day for women; n = 153). Thus, the final sample analyzed was 7216 participants (48.9% of them with type 2 diabetes).

### 2.2. Clinical and dietary measurements

Baseline dietary intake was ascertained with a 137-item semi-quantitative food-frequency questionnaire (FFQ) with 137 items, validated in an old population with high cardiovascular risk in Spain [17]. The FFQ was administered at baseline and yearly during the trial. We used an incremental scale with 9 levels, which ranged from "never or almost never" to ">6 times/day", to collect information on the frequencies of consumption of food items. Energy and nutrient intakes were computed by using Spanish food composition tables [18].

Participants underwent a baseline interview that included the assessment of cardiovascular risk factors and physician diagnosis of hypertension, diabetes and hypercholesterolemia. At the same time, we collected information about anthropometric, socio-demographic, medical, and lifestyle variables.

Adherence to Mediterranean diet was quantified with a validated 14-point Mediterranean diet score [19]. Each question was scored 0 or 1. If the condition was met, 1 point was recorded for the category, but if not, 0 points were recorded. We estimated physical activity using the Minnesota leisure-time physical activity questionnaire [20,21].

### 2.3. Ascertainment of cardiovascular events and mortality

The primary end point was a composite of myocardial infarction, stroke and death from cardiovascular causes. Four different sources

of information were used: repeated contacts with participants, general practitioners who were responsible for the clinical care of the participants, yearly review of medical records, and consultation of the National Death Index. Medical records of deceased participants were requested. The endpoint adjudication committee, whose members were blinded to treatment allocation, examined information about cardiovascular events and mortality. This committee adjudicated the cardiovascular events and the cause of death. Only endpoints that were confirmed by the event adjudication committee and that occurred between October 1st 2003 and June 30th 2012, were included in the analyses.

### 2.4. Statistical analyses

Participants were divided into 3 categories of egg consumption (<2, 2—4 and >4 eggs/week). The residuals method was used to adjust egg consumption for total energy intake [22]. We summarized quantitative variables by their mean and standard deviation (SD), and categorical variables using percentages.

We fitted Cox regression models to calculate hazard ratios (HR) and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) between egg consumption and major CVD events. The group with the lowest consumption (<2 eggs/week) was used as the reference category. The entry time was the date at recruitment. The exit time was defined as the date at myocardial infarction, stroke, or death from cardiovascular causes or June 30th 2012, whichever came first.

To minimize any effects of a change in diet, we calculated a cumulative sum of egg consumption by using yearly updated information from repeated FFQs collected at baseline and yearly thereafter up to 8 years of follow-up for each participant. Besides the baseline questionnaire, our participants completed 5.3 yearly food-frequency questionnaires, on average, during follow-up. These data were used to fit Cox regression models to assess the association between the cumulative sum of egg consumptions and the risk of CVD in the subsequent year. First we adjusted only for age (continuous), sex, BMI (continuous), and intervention group and stratified by recruitment center. In a second model, additional adjustments for smoking status (never smoker, quitters, current smoker), physical activity during leisure time (continuous), and educational status (3 categories) were performed. Model 3 was further adjusted for diabetes (yes/no), hypertension (yes/no), hypercholesterolemia (yes/no), and family history of premature coronary heart disease (yes/no). In the fully adjusted model, we additionally adjusted for Mediterranean diet score (continuous), alcohol intake (continuous), and total energy intake (continuous).

We assigned the median of the category to all participants in the category and treating it as a continuous variable to conduct tests of linear trend across increasing categories of egg consumption.

All P values were 2-sided, and significance was set at p < 0.05. Analyses were performed using STATA software, version 12.0 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA).

### 3. Results

A total of 8713 candidates were screened for eligibility, and 7447 participants were randomly assigned to one of the three intervention groups. Of them, 153 subjects who were outside the limits for total energy intake at baseline were excluded. We also excluded 78 participants with missing baseline dietary information. Thus the analyses were carried out on 7216 participants.

After a mean follow-up of 5.8 years, 342 participants had a myocardial infarction, a stroke or died from a cardiovascular cause. The main characteristics of the 7216 participants of the PREDIMED study according to baseline egg consumption are shown in Table 1. In this cohort, 3.0% of the participants consumed >4 eggs/week and

34.8% consumed <2 eggs/week. Participants reporting >4 eggs/week were younger and had a higher physical activity level, better educational attainment, and higher total energy and alcohol intake. They were also more likely to be male and current smokers, and to take antiplatelet drugs or oral hypoglycemic drugs, but less likely to be treated with insulin than participants reporting consumption of less than 2 eggs/week. Participants with the lowest consumption (<2 eggs/week) were more likely to have dyslipidemia or hypertension and to be treated with statins, β-blockers or calcium channel blockers than participants reporting the highest level of consumption (>4 eggs/week). During follow-up, 61% of the participants in the <2 eggs/week category at baseline, stayed always in the lowest category of egg consumption. On the other hand, 44% of the participants in the >4 eggs/week category at baseline, stayed always in the highest category of egg consumption during follow-up. Finally, those participants with baseline egg consumption between 2 and 4 eggs/week, increased ever their consumption in 2% of the cases and decreased it ever in 17% of the cases.

Using as the reference category the group of participants who reported the lowest egg consumption (<2 eggs/week), participants who reported a higher consumption did not show a significantly higher risk of CVD (Table 2). Participants who ate 2—4 eggs/week had a HR of primary-outcome events of 0.95 (95% CI: 0.75—1.19) and participants who ate >4 eggs/week had a HR of 1.22 (95% CI: 0.72—2.07) in the fully adjusted multivariable model.

Among 3527 participants who had diabetes at study inception (48.9%), we identified 225 primary outcomes. Using as reference category diabetics who had the lowest egg consumption (<2 eggs/week), those who consumed 2—4 eggs/week had a HR of the primary cardiovascular end-point of 0.86 (95% CI: 0.65—1.14), while those consuming >4 eggs/week had a HR of 1.33 (95% CI: 0.72—2.46) in the fully adjusted multivariable model (Table 2).

A total of 117 primary-outcome events occurred in participants without diabetes at baseline (n = 3689). Using as reference category non-diabetics who had the lowest egg consumption (<2 eggs/week), those who reported a consumption of 2—4 eggs/week had a HR of 1.09 (95% CI: 0.73—1.62) and those with a consumption >4 eggs/week had a HR of 0.96 (95% CI: 0.33—2.76) in the fully adjusted model (Table 2). The test for interaction between egg consumption and diabetes at baseline was not significant (P = 0.80).

Neither intervention groups (Mediterranean diet) nor the control group showed an association of egg consumption with the primary outcome (Table 2). Interaction between intervention group (Mediterranean diet groups versus control) was not significant (P = 0.49).

In the cumulative dietary analyses using sum of repeated measurements of egg consumption we found similar results with no significant association between egg consumption and CVD (Table 3). The test for interaction between sum of repeated measurements of egg consumption and baseline diabetes was not significant (P = 0.80).

### 4. Discussion

Higher baseline egg consumption was not associated with CVD in our Mediterranean cohort of older subjects at high cardiovascular risk. Egg consumption in this cohort was lower than that reported in others studies [7—9]. Indeed, only 3% of the participants in our study consumed >4 eggs/week at baseline. In our study, egg consumption was unrelated to an increased risk of CVD in patients with diabetes and in those without diabetes. However, when we compared extreme categories of consumption we found higher point estimates for diabetics than for non-diabetics. Therefore, our results are compatible with the hypothesis that diabetic patients

**Table 1**
Baseline characteristics of the 7216 participants of the PREDIMED study according to baseline egg consumption (Mean and s.d. or percentages).

| | Egg consumption | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | <2 eggs/week | 2–4 eggs/week | >4 eggs/week |
| | (n = 2509) | (n = 4493) | (n = 214) |
| Age | 67.1 (6.1) | 67.0 (6.3) | 65.6 (5.8) |
| Male % | 39.3 | 43.3 | 65.4 |
| BMI Kg/m$^2$ | 30.0 (3.9) | 29.9 (3.8) | 30.3 (3.7) |
| Physical activity (METS-min/day) | 254 (208) | 260 (232) | 292 (262) |
| Smoker status % | | | |
|   Never smoker | 61.5 | 62.2 | 48.1 |
|   Quitters | 25.6 | 23.7 | 32.2 |
|   Current Smoker | 13.0 | 14.2 | 19,6 |
| Educational level % | | | |
|   University | 3.4 | 3.8 | 5.6 |
|   High school | 15.9 | 19.9 | 24.3 |
| Hypertension% | 83.5 | 82.6 | 75.7 |
| Dyslipidemia% | 76.8 | 70.4 | 55.6 |
| Diabetes% | 47.6 | 49.5 | 50,9 |
| Family history premature CHD (%) | 22.8 | 22.5 | 12.6 |
| Carbohydrates intake (% total energy) | 42.3 (7.3) | 41.6 (7.0) | 39.9 (7.4) |
| Protein intake (% total energy) | 16.4 (2.9) | 16.7 (2.7) | 16.2 (2.8) |
| Fat total (% total energy) | 39.1 (7.0) | 39.2 (6.7) | 40.1 (6.9) |
|   Monounsaturated (% total energy) | 19.7 (4.8) | 19.4 (4.5) | 19.8 (4,3) |
|   Polyunsaturated (% total energy) | 6.2 (2.2) | 6.2 (2.0) | 6.2 (2.1) |
|   Saturated (% total energy) | 9.8 (2.3) | 10.1 (2.2) | 10.6 (2.4) |
| Cholesterol intake (mg/d) | 294 (98) | 392 (86) | 529 (146) |
| Score for adherence to Mediterranean Diet | 8.6 (1.9) | 8.7 (1.9) | 8.5 (1.9) |
| Fiber (g/d) | 25.7 (7.4) | 25.1 (7.4) | 23.5 (7.5) |
| Alcohol (g/d) | 7.9 (12.9) | 8.3 (13.6) | 12.1 (18.7) |
| Total energy intake (kcal/d) | 2106 (531) | 2289 (532) | 2645 (566) |
| Medication | | | |
|   ACE/ARB_II (%) | 47.5 | 49.1 | 48.6 |
|   Diuretics (%) | 21.2 | 21.4 | 19.2 |
|   Calcium channel blockers (%) | 13.3 | 13.6 | 11.2 |
|   B blockers (%) | 13.3 | 11.0 | 10.8 |
|   Statins (%) | 43.9 | 38.8 | 28.0 |
|   Insulin (%) | 5.2 | 5.3 | 2.8 |
|   Oral hypoglycaemic agents (%) | 28.8 | 30.3 | 32.7 |
|   Antiplatelet (%) | 19.7 | 19.7 | 25.2 |

may be more sensitive to egg consumption and that very high egg consumption among diabetics may predispose them to a higher risk of developing CVD.

A mechanism that might explain the lack of association between egg consumption and incident CVD is that egg consumption promotes the creation of large LDL particles that are less atherogenic [23]. These changes have also been observed in elderly individuals [24]. Additionally, in hyperlipidemic adults treated with lipid-lowering drugs (around 40% of our participants were statin users) the consumption of 3 additional eggs/day increased HDL-cholesterol and decreased the LDL:HDL ratio [25]. Furthermore, egg yolk contains inhibitors of platelet activating factor that reinforce their value in CVD protection [26]. In a 12-week randomized trial the effect of a hypoenergetic high-protein high-cholesterol (2 eggs/day) diet versus a hypoenergetic high-protein and low cholesterol diet was assessed. A high cholesterol diet (from eggs) improved glycaemic control, plasma lipids and blood pressure in participants with type 2 diabetes or impaired glucose tolerance [27]. Recently, a trial randomized a total of 140 participants, with diabetes or prediabetes, to a high-egg diet (2 eggs/day) or a low-egg diet (<2 eggs/week). High egg consumption did not have an adverse effect on the lipid profile in a context of high MUFA and PUFA consumption [28].

On the other hand, eggs are a major source of dietary cholesterol and also contain other nutrients such as high-quality proteins, unsaturated fat, minerals, vitamins, and carotenoids [1,2,29]. However, eggs have low amounts of antioxidants [30].

Food comes from living organisms where different components interact. These interactions are relevant when hen eggs are consumed as food [31]. A study in rats found that egg white proteins may have a cholesterol-lowering action because egg white proteins lower cholesterol absorption by interfering the micellar formation in the intestines [32]. The context of individual foods in whole diets, food processing and cuisine must also be considered. Our cohort participants had a high adherence to a Mediterranean diet pattern that is rich in olive oil, nuts, green vegetables, fruits, poultry and fish. Therefore, their diet was rich in polyunsaturated and monounsaturated fat but low in saturated fat. This high-quality food pattern perhaps may have modulated the potential adverse effects of a single nutrient such as dietary cholesterol, therefore explaining the lack of association between egg consumption and CVD. In fact, adherence to a Mediterranean dietary pattern has beneficial effects on cardiovascular risk factors, lowers the total cholesterol: HDL cholesterol ratio and reduces the incidence of major cardiovascular events [11,13].

We recognize that our study has some limitations. First, only 3% of our participants consumed >4 eggs/week, which hampers the capacity to detect associations between higher egg consumption and CVD. Second, the effect of the dietary intervention may have modulated the effect of egg consumption on CVD incidence. The method used for dietary assessment was a food-frequency questionnaire, with the potential for misclassification bias. However, the FFQ was extensively validated, and the estimated cumulative sum of consumption may have been more robust than with a one-time

**Table 2**
HRs and (95% CIs) of CVD according to baseline egg consumption in the PREDIMED trial.    Q10

| Participants | Egg consumption | | | p for trend |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | <2/week | 2–4/week | >4/week | |
| **Total** | | | | |
| **Incident cases of CVD** | 121 | 204 | 17 | |
| N | 2509 | 4493 | 214 | |
| Persons-years | 14,793 | 25,674 | 1305 | |
| Multivariable 1 | 1 (ref) | 0.96 (0.76–1.20) | 1.34 (0.80–2.25) | 0.73 |
| Multivariable 2 | 1 (ref) | 0.97 (0.77–1.22) | 1.36 (0.81–2.28) | 0.65 |
| Multivariable 3 | 1 (ref) | 0.95 (0.75–1.19) | 1.26 (0.75–2.13) | 0.86 |
| Multivariable 4 | 1 (ref) | 0.95 (0.75–1.19) | 1.22 (0.72–2.07) | 0.92 |
| **Diabetics** | | | | |
| **Incident cases of CVD** | 81 | 131 | 13 | |
| N | 1193 | 2225 | 109 | |
| Persons-years | 7076 | 12,850 | 682 | |
| Multivariable 1 | 1 (ref) | 0.88 (0.67–1.17) | 1.42 (0.78–2.60) | 0.94 |
| Multivariable 2 | 1 (ref) | 0.89 (0.67–1.18) | 1.41 (0.77–2.58) | 0.90 |
| Multivariable 3 | 1 (ref) | 0.87 (0.65–1.15) | 1.39 (0.76–2.55) | 0.98 |
| Multivariable 4 | 1 (ref) | 0.86 (0.65–1.14) | 1.33 (0.72–2.46) | 0.89 |
| **Non-diabetics** | | | | |
| **Incident cases of CVD** | 40 | 73 | 4 | |
| N | 1316 | 2268 | 105 | |
| Persons-years | 7717 | 12,824 | 622 | |
| Multivariable 1 | 1 (ref) | 1.08 (0.73–1.59) | 0.99 (0.35–2.81) | 0.79 |
| Multivariable 2 | 1 (ref) | 1.10 (0.75–1.63) | 1.03 (0.36–2.93) | 0.69 |
| Multivariable 3 | 1 (ref) | 1.07 (0.72–1.58) | 0.95 (0.33–2.70) | 0.85 |
| Multivariable 4 | 1 (ref) | 1.09 (0.73–1.62) | 0.96 (0.33–2.76) | 0.79 |
| **Mediterranean diet groups** | | | | |
| **Incident cases of CVD** | 79 | 124 | 12 | |
| N | 1652 | 3035 | 147 | |
| Persons-years | 9808 | 17542 | 913 | |
| Multivariable 1 | 1 (ref) | 0.85 (0.64–1.13) | 1.43 (0.77–2.65) | 0.90 |
| Multivariable 2 | 1 (ref) | 0.86 (0.65–1.14) | 1.40 (0.75–2.61) | 0.90 |
| Multivariable 3 | 1 (ref) | 0.83 (0.62–1.10) | 1.28 (0.68–2.40) | 0.67 |
| Multivariable 4 | 1 (ref) | 0.81 (0.61–1.08) | 1.19 (0.63–2.26) | 0.54 |
| **Control group** | | | | |
| **Incident cases of CVD** | 42 | 80 | 5 | |
| N | 857 | 1458 | 67 | |
| Persons-years | 4985 | 8132 | 391 | |
| Multivariable 1 | 1 (ref) | 1.18 (0.80–1.72) | 1.10 (0.43–2.85) | 0.49 |
| Multivariable 2 | 1 (ref) | 1.19 (0.81–1.74) | 1.12 (0.43–2.91) | 0.45 |
| Multivariable 3 | 1 (ref) | 1.18 (0.80–1.72) | 1.12 (0.43–2.91) | 0.48 |
| Multivariable 4 | 1 (ref) | 1.20 (0.81–1.76) | 1.13 (0.43–2.97) | 0.45 |

Multivariable 1: adjusted for age, sex, BMI and intervention group, and stratified by recruitment center.
Multivariable 2: additionally adjusted for smoking status (never smokers, quitters, current smokers), physical activity during leisure time (MET-min/day, continuous), and educational status (3 categories).
Multivariable 3: additionally adjusted for diabetes (yes/no), hypertension (yes/no), hypercholesterolemia (yes/no) and family history of CVD (yes/no).
Multivariable 4: additionally adjusted for Mediterranean food pattern (continuous), alcohol intake (continuous), total energy intake (continuous).

assessment. Third, although we adjusted for many covariates, residual confounding cannot be completely excluded. Finally, the generalizability of these results is limited because our study is a non-representative sample of the general Spanish population, as it is a high-cardiovascular risk cohort. However, having around 50% of diabetic patients, allowed us to study the association between egg consumption and cardiovascular risk in people with and without type 2 diabetes.

Our study also has strengths: the prospective design, a large sample size, a long period of follow-up, the use of repeated dietary egg consumption measures during follow-up, and adjustment for a wide array of confounders.

## 5. Conclusions

In this cohort of elderly subjects at high cardiovascular risk and with high adherence to a Mediterranean dietary pattern, low to moderated egg consumption was unrelated to CVD risk in both diabetic and non-diabetic individuals. The relationship between egg consumption and CVD remains inconclusive.

**Table 3**
HRs (and 95% CIs) of CVD according to cumulative sum of egg consumption in the PREDIMED trial. Adjusted HRs per 500 eggs of cumulative consumption.

| Participants | HR (95% CI) | P for trend |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Total** | | |
| Multivariable 1 | 1.12 (0.91–1.37) | 0.30 |
| Multivariable 2 | 1.12 (0.91–1.38) | 0.27 |
| Multivariable 3 | 1.08 (0.88–1.34) | 0.46 |
| Multivariable 4 | 1.08 (0.88–1.34) | 0.46 |
| **Diabetics** | | |
| Multivariable 1 | 1.19 (0.91–1.56) | 0.20 |
| Multivariable 2 | 1.20 (0.92–1.58) | 0.18 |
| Multivariable 3 | 1.18 (0.89–1.55) | 0.25 |
| Multivariable 4 | 1.18 (0.90–1.55) | 0.22 |
| **Non-diabetics** | | |
| Multivariable 1 | 0.96 (0.68–1.36) | 0.84 |
| Multivariable 2 | 0.97 (0.69–1.37) | 0.88 |
| Multivariable 3 | 0.94 (0.67–1.34) | 0.75 |
| Multivariable 4 | 0.94 (0.66–1.33) | 0.72 |

Multivariable 1: adjusted for age, sex, BMI and intervention group, and stratified by recruitment center.
Multivariable 2: additionally adjusted for smoking status (never smokers, quitters, current smokers), physical activity during leisure time (METs-min/day, continuous), and educational status (3 categories).
Multivariable 3: additionally adjusted for diabetes (yes/no), hypertension (yes/no), hypercholesterolemia (yes/no) and family history of CVD (yes/no).
Multivariable 4: additionally adjusted for Mediterranean food pattern (continuous), alcohol intake (continuous), total energy intake (continuous).

### Appendix. PREDIMED study investigators

University of Navarra, Primary Care Centers, Pamplona, Spain: Miguel A. Martínez-Gonzalez (coordinator of the PREDIMED Network), B. Sanjulian, J.A. Martínez, M. Serrano-Martínez, A. Sánchez-Tainta, A. García-Arellano, J.V. Extremera-Urabayen, M. Ruiz-Canela, Garcia-Pérez L, Arroyo-Azpa C, Sola-Larraza A, Barcena F, Oreja-Arrayago C, Lasanta-Sáez MJ, Amezquta-Goñi C, Cia-Lecumberri P, Elcarte-Lopez T, Artal-Moneva F, Esparza-López JM, Figuerido-Garmendia E, Tabar-Sarrias JA, Fernández-Urzainqui L, Ariz-Arnedo MJ, Cabeza-Beunza JA, Pascual-Pascual P, Martínez-Mazo MD, Arina-Vergara E, Macua-Martínez T, Parra-Osés A.

Hospital Clinic, Institut d'Investigacions Biomediques August Pi i Sunyer, Barcelona, Spain: M. Serra, A. Pérez-Heras, C. Vinas, R. Casas, L. de Santamaria, S. Romero, J.M. Baena, M. Garcia, M. Oller, J. Amat, I. Duaso, Y. Garcia, C. Iglesias, C. Simón, Ll. Quinzavos, Ll. Parra, M. Liroz, J. Benavent, J. Clos, I. Pla, M. Amorós, M.T. Bonet, M.T. Martin, M.S. Sánchez, J. Altirruba, E. Manzano, A. Altes, M. Cofan, C. Valls-Pedret, A. Sala-Vila, and M. Domenech.

University Rovira i Virgili, Reus, Spain: M. Bullo, J. Basora, R. González, C. Molina, F. Márquez,, P. Martinez, N. Ibarrola, R. Balanza, M. Sorli, J. Garcia Roselló, F. Martin, R. Tort, A. Castro, A. Isach, J.J. Cabré, J. Segarra, G. Mestres, M. Guasch-Ferré, A. Díaz-López, F. Paris, M. Llauradó, R. Pedret, J. Balsells, J. Vizcaíno, I. Megias, N. Guillen and J. Fernández-Ballart.

Institut de Recerca Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain:, S. Tello, J. Vila, R. de la Torre, D. Muñoz-Aguayo, R. Elosua, J. Marrugat, and M. Ferrer.

University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain: P. Carrasco, C. Ortega-Azorín, E.M. Asensio, R. Osma, R. Barragán, F. Francés, M. Guillén, C. Saiz, O. Portolés, F.J. Giménez, O. Coltell, P. Guillem-Saiz, V. Pascual, C. Riera, M.A. Pages, D. Godoy, A. Carratalá-Calvo, M.J. Martín-Rillo, E. Llopis-Osorio, J. Ruiz-Baixauli, and A. Bertolín-Muñoz.

University Hospital of Alava, Vitoria, Spain:: I. Salaverria, T. del Hierro, J. Algorta, S. Francisco, A. Alonso, J. San Vicente, A. Casi, E. Sanz, I. Felipe, J. Rekondo and A. Loma-Osorio.

University of Malaga, Malaga, Spain: E Gómez-Gracia, J. Wärnberg, R. Benitez Pont, M. Bianchi Alba,, Navajas,, R. Gómez-Huelgas, J. Martínez-González, V. Velasco García, J. de Diego Salas, A. Baca Osorio, J. Gil Zarzosa, J.J. Sánchez Luque, and E. Vargas López.

Instituto de la Grasa, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Sevilla, Spain:, J. Sanchez Perona, E. Montero Romero, M. García-García and E. Jurado-Ruiz.

Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Palma (IdISPa), Hospital Universitario Son Espases, Palma de Mallorca, Spain: D. Romaguera, M. García-Valdueza, A. Proenza, R. Prieto, G. Frontera, S. Munuera, M. Vivó, F. Bestard, J.A. Munar, L. Coll, F. Fiol, M. Ginard, A. Jover, J. García, Department of Family Medicine, Primary Care Division of Sevilla, Sevilla, Spain: M. Leal, E. Martínez, M. Ortega-Calvo, P. Roman, P. Iglesias, Y. Corchado, E. Mayoral, L. Mellado, L. Miró, JM. Lozano and C. Lama.

School of Pharmacy, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain: A.I. Castellote-Bargallo, A. Medina-Remon, and A. Tresserra-Rimbau.

University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Las Palmas, Spain: J. Alvarez-Pérez, E. Díez Benítez, I. Bautista Castaño, I. Maldonado Díaz, A. Sanchez-Villegas, M.J. Férnandez-Rodríguez, F. Sarmiendo de la Fe, C. Simón García, I. Falcón Sanabria, B. Macías Gutiérrez, and A.J. Santana Santana.

Hospital Universitario de Bellvitge, Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain: E. de la Cruz, A. Galera, Y. Soler, F. Trias, I. Sarasa, E. Padres, and E. Corbella.

Primary Care Division, Catalan Institute of Health, Barcelona, Spain: M.A. Muñoz, C. Cabezas, E. Vinyoles, M.A. Rovira, L. Garcia, G. Flores,, P. Baby, A. Ramos, L. Mengual, P. Roura, M.C. Yuste, A. Guarner, A. Rovira, M.I. Santamaria, M. Mata, C. de Juan, and A. Brau.

Other investigators of the PREDIMED network: J.A. Tur (University of Balearic Islands), M.P. Portillo (University of Basque Country) and G. Sáez (University of Valencia).

The autors' responsibilities were as follows JD-E, FJB-G, PB-C, JS-S, ER, RE, DC and ET: conceived the project; JD-E, FJB-G, PB-C, JS-S, MF, ER, RE, DC, EG-G, FA, MF, JL, LS-M, XP, NB, LQ, MF, AM and ET: conducted the research; JD-E, FJB-G, PB-C and ET: analyzed the data; JD-E, FJB-G, PB-C and ET: wrote the manuscript and had primary responsibility for the final content of the manuscript; and all authors: read and approved the final manuscript. ER reported serving on the scientific board of and receiving travel support as well as grant support through his institution from the California Walnut Commission. JS-S reported serving on the scientific committee of and receiving grant support through his institution from the International Nut and Dried Fruit Council, receiving consulting fees from Danone, and receiving grant support through his institution from Eroski and Nestlé. LS-M reported serving on the boards of the Mediterranean Diet Foundation and the Beer and Health Foundation. XP reported serving on the board of and receiving grant support through his institution from the Residual Risk Reduction Initiative Foundation, serving on the board of OMegafort, serving on the board of and receiving payment for the development

of educational presentations, receiving lecture fees from Danone, and receiving grant support though his institution from Unilever and Karo Bio. RE reported serving on the board of and receiving lecture fees from the Research Foundation on Wine and Nutrition, serving on the boards of the Beer and Health Foundation, and the European Foundation for Alcohol Research, and receiving lecture fees from Cerveceros de España. JD-E, FJB-G, PB-C, MF, DC, EG-G, FA, JL, NB, LQ, MF, AM, and ET had no conflicts of interests.

## References

[1] Hu FB, Stampfer MJ, Rimm EB, Manson JE, Ascherio A, Colditz GA, et al. A prospective study of egg consumption and risk of cardiovascular disease in men and women. JAMA 1999;281:1387–94.

[2] Song WO, Kerver JM. Nutritional contribution of eggs to American diets. J Am Coll Nutr 2000;19:556S–62S.

[3] Kanter MM, Kris-Etherton PM, Fernandez ML, Vickers KC, Katz DL. Exploring the factors that affect blood cholesterol and heart disease risk: is dietary cholesterol as bad for you as history leads us to believe. AdvNutr 2012;3: 711–7. http://dx.doi.org/10.3945/an.111.001321.

[4] Stamler J, Wentworth D, Neaton JD. Is relationship between serum cholesterol and risk of premature death from coronary heart disease continuous and graded? Findings in 356,222 primary screenees of the multiple risk factor intervention trial (MRFIT). JAMA 1986;256:2823–8.

[5] Shekelle RB, Shryock AM, Paul O, Lepper M, Stamler J, Liu S, et al. Diet, serum cholesterol, and death from coronary heart disease. The Western Electric study. N Engl J Med 1981;304:65–70.

[6] National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection. Evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (adult treatment panel III). Third report of the National cholesterol education program (NCEP) expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (adult treatment panel III) final report. Circulation 2002;106: 3143–421.

[7] Spence JD, Jenkins DJ, Davignon J. Dietary cholesterol and egg yolks: not for patients at risk of vascular disease. Can J Cardiol 2010 Nov;26(9):e336–9.

[8] Spence JD, Jenkins DJ, Davignon J. Egg yolk consumption and carotid plaque. Atherosclerosis 2012;224:469–73. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2012.07.032.

[9] Voutilainen S, Nurmi A, Mursu J, Tuomainen TP, Ruusunen A, Virtanen JK. Regular consumption of eggs does not affect carotid plaque area or risk of acute myocardial infarction in Finnish men. Atherosclerosis 2013;227:186–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2012.11.031.

[10] Li Y, Zhou C, Zhou X, Li L. Egg consumption and risk of cardiovascular diseases and diabetes: a meta-analysis. Atherosclerosis 2013;229:524–30. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2013.04.003.

[11] Shin JY, Xun P, Nakamura Y, He K. Egg consumption in relation to risk of cardiovascular disease and diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Clin Nutr 2013;98:146–59. http://dx.doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.112.051318.

[12] Rong Y, Chen L, Zhu T, Song Y, Yu M, Shan Z, et al. Egg consumption and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. BMJ 2013 Jan 7;346:e8539. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e8539.

[13] Zazpe I, Beunza JJ, Bes-Rastrollo M, Warnberg J, de la Fuente-Arrillaga C, Benito S, et al. Egg consumption and risk of cardiovascular disease in the SUN Project. Eur J Clin Nutr 2011;65:676–82. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2011.30.

[14] Estruch R, Martínez-González MA, Corella D, Salas-Salvadó J, Ruiz-Gutiérrez V, Covas MI, et al., PREDIMED Study Investigators. Effects of a Mediterranean-style diet on cardiovascular risk factors: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2006;145:1–11.

[15] Martínez-González MÁ, Corella D, Salas-Salvadó J, Ros E, Covas MI, Fiol M, et al., PREDIMED Study Investigators. Cohort profile: design and methods of the PREDIMED study. Int J Epidemiol 2012;41:377–85. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyq250.

[16] Estruch R, Ros E, Salas-Salvadó J, Covas MI, Corella D, Arós F, et al., PREDIMED Study Investigators. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with a Mediterranean diet. N Engl J Med 2013;368:1279–90. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1200303. Erratum in: N Engl J Med 2014;370:886.

[17] Fernández-Ballart JD, Piñol JL, Zazpe I, Corella D, Carrasco P, Toledo E, et al. Relative validity of a semi-quantitative food-frequency questionnaire in an elderly Mediterranean population of Spain. Br J Nutr 2010;103:1808–16. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0007114509993837.

[18] Moreiras O, Carbajal A, Cabrera L. Tablas de composición de alimentos [Food composition tables]. 9th ed. Madrid, Spain: Editorial Pirámide; 2005 [in Spanish].

[19] Schröder H, Fitó M, Estruch R, Martínez-González MA, Corella D, Salas-Salvadó J, et al. A short screener is valid for assessing Mediterranean diet adherence among older Spanish men and women. J Nutr 2011;141:1140–5.

[20] Elosua R, Marrugat J, Molina L, Pons S, Pujol E, The MARATHOM investigators. Validation of the Minnesota leisure time physical activity questionnaire in Spanish men. Am J Epidemiol 1994;139:1197–209.

[21] Elosua R, Garcia M, Aguilar A, Molina L, Covas MI, Marrugat J, Invesigators of the MARATDON Group. Validation of the Minnesota leisure time physical activity questionnaire in Spanish women. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2000;32: 1431–7.

[22] Willett W, Stampfer MJ. Implications of total energy intake for epidemiologic analyses. In: Willett W, editor. Nutritional epidemiology. 2nd ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 1998. p. 273–301.

[23] Fernandez ML. Dietary cholesterol provided by eggs and plasma lipoproteins in healthy populations. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2006;9:8–12.

[24] Greene CM, Waters D, Clark RM, Contois JH, Fernandez ML. Plasma LDL and HDL characteristics and carotenoid content are positively influenced by egg consumption in an elderly population. Nutr Metab (Lond) 2006;3:6.

[25] Klangjareonchai T, Putadechakum S, Sritara P, Roongpisuthipong C. The effect of egg consumption in hyperlipidemic subjects during treatment with lipid-lowering drugs. J Lipids 2012;2012:672–720.

[26] Nasopoulou C, Gogaki V, Panagopoulou E, Demopoulos C, Zabetakis I. Hen egg yolk lipid fractions with antiatherogenic properties. Anim Sci J 2013;84: 264–71. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-0929.2012.01067.

[27] Clifton PM. Egg consumption as part of an energy-restricted high-protein diet improves blood lipid and blood glucose profiles in individuals with type 2 diabetes. Br J Nutr 2011;105:584–92. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0007114510003983.

[28] Fuller NR, Caterson A, Sainsbury A, Denyer G, Fong M, Gerofi Bagleh K, et al. The effect of a high-egg diet on cardiovascular risk factors in people with type 2 diabetes: the diabetes and egg (DIABEGG) study-a 3-mo randomized controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr 2015. http://dx.doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.114.096925.

[29] Herron KL, Fernandez ML. Are the current dietary guidelines regarding egg consumption appropriate? J Nutr 2004;134:187–90.

[30] Carlsen MH, Halvorsen BL, Holte K, Bøhn SK, Dragland S, Sampson L, et al. The total antioxidant content of more than 3100 foods, beverages, spices, herbs and supplements used worldwide. Nutr J 2010;9:3.

[31] Jacobs Jr DR, Tapsell LC. Food, not nutrients, is the fundamental unit in nutrition. Nutr Rev 2007;65:439–50.

[32] Matsuoka R, Kimura M, Muto A, Masuda Y, Sato M, Imaizumi K. Mechanism for the cholesterol-lowering action of egg white protein in rats. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 2008;72:1506–12.