Glycolysis/gluconeogenesis- and tricarboxylic acid cycle–related metabolites, Mediterranean diet, and type 2 diabetes
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ABSTRACT

Background: Glycolysis/gluconeogenesis and tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle metabolites have been associated with type 2 diabetes (T2D). However, the associations of these metabolites with T2D incidence and the potential effect of dietary interventions remain unclear.

Objectives: We aimed to evaluate the association of baseline and 1-y changes in glycolysis/gluconeogenesis and TCA cycle metabolites with insulin resistance and T2D incidence, and the potential modifying effect of Mediterranean diet (MedDiet) interventions.

Methods: We included 251 incident T2D cases and 638 noncases in a nested case-cohort study within the PREDIMED Study during median follow-up of 3.8 y. Participants were allocated to MedDiet + extra-virgin olive oil, MedDiet + nuts, or control diet. Plasma metabolites were measured using a targeted approach by LC–tandem MS. We tested the associations of baseline and 1-y changes in glycolysis/gluconeogenesis and TCA cycle metabolites with subsequent T2D risk using weighted Cox regression models and adjusting for potential confounders. We designed a weighted score combining all these metabolites and applying the leave-one-out cross-validation approach.

Results: Baseline concentrations of hexose monophosphate, pyruvate, lactate, alanine, glycerol-3 phosphate, and isocitrate were significantly associated with higher T2D risk (17–44% higher risk for each 1-SD increment). The weighted score including all metabolites was associated with a 30% (95% CI: 1.12, 1.51) higher risk of T2D for each 1-SD increment. Baseline lactate and alanine were associated with baseline and 1-y changes of homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance. One-year increases in most metabolites and in the weighted score were associated with higher risk of T2D after 1 y of follow-up. Lower risks were observed in the MedDiet groups than in the control group although no significant interactions were found after adjusting for multiple comparisons.

Conclusions: We identified a panel of glycolysis/gluconeogenesis-related metabolites that was significantly associated with T2D risk in a Mediterranean population at high cardiovascular disease risk. A MedDiet could counteract the detrimental effects of these metabolites. This trial was registered at controlled-trials.com as ISRCTN35739639.
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Introduction

Metabolomics is a rapidly evolving discipline that offers a new avenue for identifying novel biomarkers before the onset of diabetes beyond classical risk factors (1). Metabolomic studies have revealed that several blood sugars, sugar-related...
Committee, data analysis will be made available to them using an onsite the corresponding author. Upon approval from the PREDIMED Steering investigators interested in analyzing the PREDIMED data set used for the consent for the use of their data by the original team of investigators. However, research participants’ consent because our participants only gave their publicly available owing to national data regulations and for ethical reasons, including the possibility that sharing some information might compromise metabolism, components of the glycolysis/gluconeogenesis pathway, and tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle intermediates have been associated with insulin resistance, prediabetes, and diabetes in case-control, cross-sectional, and prospective studies (2–8). Interestingly, several metabolites belonging to the glycolysis/gluconeogenesis pathway and TCA cycle show relevant changes in plasma concentrations after oral glucose challenges (9, 10). Among them, lactate (the end product of anaerobic glycolysis) also showed differential changes in its circulating concentrations during the oral-glucose-tolerance test (OGTT) by insulin resistance status (9). Moreover, circulating lactate is a relevant predictor of subsequent T2D incidence in several epidemiologic studies (11–13). However, these studies only assessed plasma lactate at baseline and did not perform a broader assessment of other lactate-related metabolites involved in glucose homeostasis.
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Although available literature has pointed to a link between some glycolysis/gluconeogenesis or TCA cycle plasma metabolites and prediabetes or T2D, to our knowledge no previous longitudinal study has assessed the association of these metabolites with future T2D incidence in initially nondiabetic subjects. Importantly, existing studies have not integrated longitudinal data with the potential effect of dietary interventions. This integration is needed to evaluate the associations of interest in a comprehensive manner and to provide support for public health actions. In this context, no large, long-term study has assessed whether dietary interventions can modify the relation between metabolomic profiles composed of gluconeogenesis-pathway metabolites and T2D risk. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the association of baseline and 1-y changes in plasma glycolysis/gluconeogenesis-related metabolites and TCA cycle intermediates with insulin resistance and T2D risk; and to examine whether these associations might be mitigated by dietary interventions based on the Mediterranean diet (MedDiet) among participants at high cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk.

Methods

Study design and participants

The present study was a nested case-cohort study within the PREDIMED trial (ISRCTN35796393). Briefly, the PREDIMED trial (www.predimed.es) was conducted from 2003 through 2010 in Spain and aimed to evaluate the effects of the MedDiet for the primary prevention of CVD. At baseline, 7447 participants aged 55–80 y with high CVD risk, but initially free from diagnosed CVD, were allocated to 1 of 3 dietary interventions: 1) MedDiet supplemented with extra-virgin olive oil (provided to participants for free); 2) MedDiet supplemented with mixed tree nuts (provided to participants for free); or 3) a control group that received advice to follow a low-fat diet (and participants received nonfood gifts). Detailed information about the PREDIMED trial has been published elsewhere (14, 15).

In the present case-cohort study, we have included all the available incident T2D cases diagnosed during a median follow-up of 3.8 y and a random subsample of 20% of participants free of T2D at baseline and who had available EDTA plasma samples (16). Among all participants free of diabetes at baseline (n = 3541), we selected for the present analysis 889 participants (Supplemental Figure 1), including 251 incident T2D cases with available plasma samples and a subcohort of 691 randomly selected participants (638 noncases and 53 overlapping cases). Among the total selected subset of 889 participants, 656 had available blood samples after 1 y of follow-up (499 noncases and 157 cases that occurred after 1 y of follow-up) and they were included in the 1-y change analyses. The protocol was approved by the research ethics committees at all study locations, and all participants provided written informed consent.

Ascertainment of T2D cases

The PREDIMED protocol included T2D as a prespecified secondary endpoint of the trial among participants initially free of diabetes. At baseline, prevalent T2D was identified by clinical diagnosis and/or use of antidiabetic medication. The diagnosis of incident T2D during follow-up has been described elsewhere (17).
and followed the American Diabetes Association criteria (18), namely 2 confirmations of fasting plasma glucose $\geq 7.0$ mmol/L or 2-h plasma glucose $\geq 11.1$ mmol/L, after a standard 2-h 75-g OGTT. Blinded study physicians collected information on the outcomes. Blinded to the intervention assignment, the Clinical End-Point Ascertainment Committee adjudicated the T2D events according to standard criteria. Information on incident cases of T2D was collected from continuous contact with participants and primary health care physicians, annual follow-up visits, yearly ad hoc reviews of medical charts, and annual consultation of the National Death Index.

Covariate assessment

At baseline and at yearly follow-up visits, questionnaires assessing medical conditions, family history of disease, and risk factors were collected. Trained personnel measured participants’ body weight, height, waist circumference, and blood pressure (in triplicate) according to the study protocol. BMI was calculated as kg/m$^2$. Physical activity was assessed using the validated Spanish version of the Minnesota Leisure-Time Physical Activity questionnaire (19). Participants were considered to have hypercholesterolemia or hypertension if they had previously been medically diagnosed, and/or they were being treated with cholesterol-lowering or antihypertensive agents, respectively.

Study samples and metabolomics profiling

All analyses used fasting (≥8 h) plasma EDTA samples collected at baseline and at year 1 of intervention. Samples were processed at each recruiting center no later than 2 h after collection and stored at −80°C. Pairs of samples (baseline and first-year visit) from cases and subcohort participants were randomly distributed before being shipped to the Broad Institute in Cambridge, MA, for metabolomics assays. Using a targeted approach, LC–tandem MS was used to quantitatively profile polar metabolites including organic acids, sugar phosphates, purines, pyrimidines, bile acids, and anionic (carboxylate-containing) metabolites. Internal standard peak areas were monitored for quality control and to ensure system performance throughout analyses. Pooled plasma reference samples were also inserted every 20 samples as an additional quality control. The raw data were processed using MultiQuant software (AB SCIEX) to integrate chromatographic peaks and the data were visually inspected to ensure the quality of signal integration. Details of the LC–tandem MS platform can be found elsewhere (20).

For this analysis we used plasma concentrations of metabolites involved in the pathways of glycolysis, gluconeogenesis, and the TCA cycle, namely fructose-6-phosphate, fructose-1,6-bisphosphate, 3-phosphoglycerate, phosphoenolpyruvate, pyruvate, lactate, alanine, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate, citrate, aconitate, isocitrate, fumarate, malate, and succinate (for Human Metabolome Database numbers see Supplemental Figure 2; http://www.hmdb.ca/). These products were considered representative metabolites because the method could not chromatographically solve the isomers and therefore did not have unique multiple reaction monitoring transitions in MS. For this reason, in this article we have used the general names for these molecules, i.e., hexose monophosphate for fructose-6-phosphate, hexose diphosphate for fructose 1,6-bisphosphate, and fumarate/maleate for fumarate. We observed 2 missing values in the measurement of 3-phosphoglycerate, 4 missing values in phosphoenolpyruvate, 109 in pyruvate, and 319 in hexose diphosphate.

Participants’ triglyceride, total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol were measured using fasting plasma samples at baseline. Serum glucose, triglyceride, total cholesterol, and HDL-cholesterol concentrations were measured using standard enzymatic methods and LDL-cholesterol concentrations were calculated with the Friedewald formula. Plasma glucose was measured using an enzymatic method to convert glucose to 6-phosphogluconate (ADVIA Chemistry Systems). The intra- and interassay CVs were 1.2 and 1.6, respectively. Insulin concentrations were measured using an immunoenzymometric assay (ADVIA Chemistry Systems) with intra- and interassay CVs equal to 3.7 and 4.4, respectively. Insulin resistance was calculated by using the HOMA-IR index [$\text{HOMA-IR} = \frac{\text{fasting insulin} (\mu\text{U/mL}) \times \text{fasting glucose (mmol/L)}}{22.5}$].

Statistics

Individual glycolysis/gluconeogenesis-related metabolite concentrations were normalized and scaled to multiples of 1 SD using the rank-based inverse normal transformation. Weighted proportional hazards Cox regression models using Barlow weights to account for the overrepresentation of cases, as recommended for case-cohort designs (21), were applied to estimate HRs and the 95% CIs of T2D, comparing participants in each quartile with the lowest quartile as well as per 1-SD increment in individual metabolites. Follow-up time was calculated from the date of enrolment to the date of diagnosis of T2D for cases, and to the date of the last visit or the end of the follow-up period for noncases. Models were adjusted for age, sex, intervention group, smoking, BMI, physical activity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and baseline plasma glucose (centered on the sample mean and adding a quadratic term). All models were stratified by recruitment center with the option “strata” from Stata, thus equal coefficients are calculated across strata but with a baseline hazard unique to each stratum. We adjusted $P$ values of the multivariable-adjusted associations between 1-SD increments in concentration of individual metabolites and T2D risk using the Simes procedure to account for the multiple testing (22). To quantify a linear trend, we assigned the median value of each metabolite concentration within each quartile and modeled this variable continuously.

We created a weighted metabolite score combining the glycolysis/gluconeogenesis-related metabolites using the respective coefficients from the multivariable Cox regression model fitted for each individual metabolite (23). We applied the leave-one-out cross-validation approach to obtain unbiased estimates of these models and to avoid overfitting when creating the score (24). In each run, Cox regression models were applied to the all-but-one sample (i.e., the training data set), and the regression coefficient obtained was the weight applied to the remaining 1 sample (i.e., the testing data set) to calculate the score. For metabolites with missing values (hexose diphosphate, 3-phosphoglycerate, phosphoenolpyruvate, and pyruvate) we imputed the values by using the minimum observed value divided by 2. We also repeated this analysis using a new score with all metabolites except pyruvate and hexose diphosphate to assess the possible influence of the replacement of missing values from
these metabolites. We adjusted for the same covariates as aforementioned. In addition, we adjusted for other metabolites related to glycolysis/gluconeogenesis or the TCA cycle and previously associated with T2D (25–27). Specifically, we adjusted for a branched-chain amino acid score (leucine + isoleucine + valine), aromatic amino acid score (phenylalanine + tyrosine), ratio of glutamine to glutamate, and global arginine bioavailability ratio [arginine/(ornithine + citrulline)].

Some departures from the individual random assignment protocol in a small subset of participants have been reported in the PREDIMED trial (15). As ancillary analyses, we repeated the analyses using robust variance estimators to account for intracluster correlation and we also adjusted for propensity scores predicting randomization to account for small between-group imbalances at baseline.

Using the aforementioned models but with further adjustments for baseline metabolite concentrations of the corresponding metabolite, we also examined the associations between 1-y changes in individual glycolysis/gluconeogenesis- or TCA cycle–related metabolites and T2D risk (using as outcome only cases of T2D occurring after 1 y follow-up). We first calculated the difference between baseline and 1-y concentrations, then normalized this difference using the inverse normal transformation. We applied the same aforementioned procedure to obtain the 1-y weighted metabolite score using the coefficients from Cox regressions for 1-y changes.

In addition, we stratified the analyses by intervention group (control group compared with both MedDiet groups merged together). The likelihood ratio test was used to assess the significance of the 1-df interaction term (effect modification in multiplicative scale) between the intervention (MedDiet groups compared with control) and the individual metabolites (continuous). Finally, we applied multiple linear regression models to examine the associations of quartiles of glycolysis/gluconeogenesis–related metabolites at baseline and 1-y changes with HOMA-IR adjusting for age, sex, intervention group, smoking status, BMI, leisure-time physical activity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and baseline plasma glucose. Only metabolites previously associated with T2D incidence were included in the analyses.

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata version 15 (Stata Corp), at a 2-tailed alpha of 0.05.

Results

The CVs were 4.6% for fructose 6-phosphate, 4.5% for fructose 1,6-bisphosphate, 4.0% for 3-phosphoglycerate, 6.3% for phosphoenolpyruvate, 11.5% for pyruvate, 2.9% for lactate, alanine, 3.3% for glycerol-3-phosphate, 1.2% for citrate, 2.5% for aconitate, 1.9% for isocitrate, 2.2% for fumarate, 0.9% for malate, and 2.7% for succinate.

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the subset of PREDIMED participants included in our analysis by T2D incidence. Participants who developed T2D were more likely to smoke, had a higher baseline waist circumference and BMI, as well as higher concentrations of fasting glucose at baseline than participants who did not develop T2D during follow-up.

Table 2 shows the HRs and 95% CIs for incident T2D risk according to individual baseline glycolysis/gluconeogenesis-related metabolites. In the multivariable-adjusted models, plasma hexose monophosphate, pyruvate, lactate, alanine, glycerol-3-phosphate, and isocitrate were significantly associated with a higher risk of T2D (23–44% relatively higher risk for each 1-SD increment).

Each 1-SD increment in the weighted score including all metabolites was associated with a 30% (95% CI: 1.12, 1.51) higher risk of T2D (Table 2). Results remained significant when we also adjusted for propensity scores predicting randomization to account for small between-group imbalances at baseline and when we used robust variance estimators to account for intracluster correlations (29%; 95% CI: 3%, 61%). The association became stronger (37%; 95% CI: 18%, 58% per 1-SD increment) when we repeated the analyses with a metabolite score without pyruvate and hexose diphosphate (P < 0.001 after false discovery rate (FDR) correction). T2D risk was slightly attenuated but still significant when we also adjusted for other T2D-associated metabolites: we calculated a 22% (95% CI: 4%, 44%) higher risk for each 1-SD increment in the score when we also adjusted for branched-chain and aromatic amino acids, ratio of glutamine to glutamate, and the global arginine bioavailability ratio.

Supplemental Table 1 shows the stratified analysis by intervention group for only those metabolites that were significantly associated with T2D. We observed a positive association of hexose monophosphate (as a continuous variable) with T2D in the control group (HR: 1.46; 95% CI: 1.13, 1.87), but no significant association was observed in the MedDiet intervention groups. The P for interaction for the intervention (both MedDiet groups merged compared with the control group) was 0.049 (1 df), but it was nonsignificant after the FDR correction. A nonsignificant trend for an interaction suggesting a higher risk of T2D for baseline pyruvate in the MedDiet group but not in the control group was observed, but it became nonsignificant after the FDR correction (P-interaction after FDR correction = 0.076, 1 df).

Baseline HOMA-IR was positively associated with plasma pyruvate, lactate, and alanine (P-trend for quartiles of these metabolites: <0.001, <0.001, and 0.003, respectively). In addition, plasma lactate and alanine were significantly and positively associated as well with 1-y changes in HOMA-IR (P-trend = 0.015 and 0.027, respectively) (Table 3).

Our results also indicated a significantly increased risk of T2D associated with 1-y changes in hexose monophosphate, 3-phosphoglycerate, lactate, aconitate, isocitrate, fumarate/maleate, and malate (Supplemental Table 2). The strongest associations were observed for lactate and aconitate. Those participants in the upper quartile of 1-y changes in lactate had 3.87-fold higher risk of T2D, and those in the upper quartile of aconitate had 3.16-fold higher risk, than those in the first quartile (HR: 3.87; 95% CI: 2.05, 7.30 and HR: 3.16; 95% CI: 1.76, 5.68, respectively).

A significant association was also found for the 1-y change weighted score of all these metabolites (60% higher risk for each 1-SD increment, HR: 1.60; 95% CI: 1.31, 1.97) (Supplemental Table 2). A consistent association was found when we also adjusted for baseline and 1-y changes in other metabolites including branched-chain amino and aromatic amino acids, ratio of glutamine to glutamate, and global arginine availability score (HR: 1.61; 95% CI: 1.29, 2.01 per 1-SD increment) and when we repeated the analyses with a metabolite score without pyruvate...
and hexose diphosphate (HR: 1.63; 95% CI: 1.36, 1.96 per 1-SD increment).

When these models were stratified by intervention group (Table 4), 1-y changes in several metabolites including hexose monophosphate, 3-phosphoglycerate, lactate, and aconitate were also associated with higher T2D risk both in the control and in the MedDiet groups. Citrate, isocitrate, and malate were only associated with higher risk of T2D in the control group, not in the MedDiet intervention groups. The test for interaction was significant for isocitrate and malate, but no longer significant after the FDR correction. One-year changes in the metabolite score were associated with 3.57-fold higher risk of T2D in the control group, not in the MedDiet groups. Citrate, isocitrate, and malate were only associated with higher T2D risk both in the control and in the MedDiet groups. Citrate, isocitrate, and malate were only associated with higher risk of T2D in the control group.

**Discussion**

In this prospective nested case-cohort study, we observed that baseline and 1-y changes in fasting plasma concentrations of several glycolysis/gluconeogenesis- and TCA cycle–related metabolites and a global score were associated with higher risk of T2D among participants at high CVD risk. Moreover, 1-y change of this score and some individual metabolites was associated with T2D risk in the control group but not in the MedDiet group, although interactions were not statistically significant after FDR correction. In addition, baseline plasma concentrations of lactate and alanine were associated with increases in HOMA-IR after 1 y.

Because T2D is itself defined by hyperglycemia (29, 30), our results may be partly explained by the fact that early dysglycemia usually precedes changes in metabolite concentrations. Sugar-related circulating metabolites were correlated with prediabetes and/or T2D in observational studies (25). The Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg (KORA) case-control study reported that plasma glucose, mannose, desoxyhexose, and dihexose were higher in T2D cases than in the control group (7). In the Framingham Heart Study Offspring Cohort, glycolysis products increased after a 75-g OGTT (9, 10). That study also reported very modest reductions in circulating concentrations of glucose 1-phosphate, glucose 6-phosphate, fructose 1-phosphate, and fructose 6-phosphate after glucose loads (9).

In our study, both baseline and 1-y changes of plasma lactate concentrations were strongly associated with T2D risk. Previous studies have shown that fasting plasma lactate concentrations are associated with surrogates of insulin resistance and T2D risk (11, 12). Although pancreatic β-cell lines have shown alterations in the glycolytic pathway and TCA metabolism (31), it is unlikely that circulating lactate or pyruvate may have a direct effect in insulin secretion given that the lactate/pyruvate transporter monocarboxylate transporter 1 (MCT1) is specifically disallowed in β-cells (32). However, fasting plasma lactate has been reported as one of the circulating metabolites involved in insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome phenotypes (6).

Increased plasma lactate concentrations have also been reported after the standard 75-g OGTT and hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamps, showing differential postchallenge lactatemia in insulin-resistant compared with insulin-sensitive subjects (8, 9, 33–35).
### TABLE 2  Incident type 2 diabetes by baseline glycolysis/gluconeogenesis and TCA cycle fasting plasma metabolites in the PREDIMED trial, 2003–20101

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolite</th>
<th>Type 2 diabetes cases</th>
<th>Adjusted HR per 1-SD increment2 (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-trend</th>
<th>P-trend3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Quartile 1</td>
<td>Quartile 2</td>
<td>Quartile 3</td>
<td>Quartile 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexose monophosphate4</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>2.45 (1.62, 3.69)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.73, 1.71)</td>
<td>2.37 (1.58, 3.54)</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexose diphosphate4</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>0.65 (0.41, 1.03)</td>
<td>1.74 (1.20, 2.54)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.57, 1.41)</td>
<td>0.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Phosphoglycerate</td>
<td>887</td>
<td>1.24 (0.85, 1.82)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.84, 1.94)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.84, 1.92)</td>
<td>0.475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphenolpyruvate</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>0.87 (0.58, 1.30)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.51, 1.36)</td>
<td>1.40 (0.94, 2.10)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>1.36 (0.88, 2.09)</td>
<td>2.12 (1.37, 3.28)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>1.10 (0.71, 1.66)</td>
<td>1.70 (1.11, 2.61)</td>
<td>1.66 (1.06, 2.59)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>1.08 (0.73, 1.62)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.78, 1.72)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.83, 1.83)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol 3-phosphate</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>1.00 (0.66, 1.54)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.54, 1.22)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.62, 1.40)</td>
<td>0.866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrate</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>1.14 (0.99, 1.33)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.75, 1.65)</td>
<td>1.48 (0.98, 2.23)</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aconitate</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>1.17 (1.01, 1.36)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.60, 1.50)</td>
<td>1.58 (1.04, 2.40)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isocitrate</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>1.02 (0.88, 1.18)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.51, 1.10)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.64, 1.45)</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fumarate/maleate4</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>1.10 (0.91, 1.19)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.79, 1.65)</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td>0.837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malate</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>1.07 (0.93, 1.25)</td>
<td>1.38 (0.96, 2.00)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.60, 1.49)</td>
<td>0.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutricate</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>1.30 (1.12, 1.51)</td>
<td>1.32 (0.86, 2.01)</td>
<td>1.88 (1.25, 2.83)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Models adjusted for age (years), sex (male, female), intervention group (MedDiet + extra-virgin olive oil, MedDiet + nuts), BMI (kg/m²), smoking (never, current, former), leisure-time physical activity (metabolic equivalent tasks in minutes per day), dyslipidemia, hypertension, and baseline fasting glucose (mean + quadratic term of centered mean) and stratified by recruitment center. TCA, tricarboxylic acid cycle.

2An inverse normal transformation was applied to raw values.

3False discovery rate–corrected P value.

4These metabolites were not chromatographically resolved and do not have unique multiple reaction monitoring transitions in MS.

5Weighted sum of all metabolites (using regression coefficients as weights after applying the leave-one-out cross-validation approach). Weighted proportional hazards Cox regression models were used.
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Table 3: Baseline and 1-y changes in HOMA-IR index (95% CIs) by quartiles of baseline glycolysis/gluconeogenesis and TCA cycle metabolites in the PREDIMED trial, 2003–2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolite</th>
<th>Quartile 1</th>
<th>Quartile 2</th>
<th>Quartile 3</th>
<th>Quartile 4</th>
<th>P-trend</th>
<th>Adjusted mean difference (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hexose monophosphate</td>
<td>0 (ref.)</td>
<td>−0.12 (−0.52, 0.28)</td>
<td>−0.41 (−0.82, 0.01)</td>
<td>0.10 (−0.30, 0.49)</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>−0.40 (−0.91, 0.12)</td>
<td>0.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate</td>
<td>0 (ref.)</td>
<td>0.12 (−0.35, 0.58)</td>
<td>0.37 (−0.09, 0.83)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.15, 1.07)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.09 (−0.52, 0.69)</td>
<td>0.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate</td>
<td>0 (ref.)</td>
<td>0.16 (−0.23, 0.56)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.55, 1.34)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.62, 1.43)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.55 (0.02, 1.09)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine</td>
<td>0 (ref.)</td>
<td>0.24 (−0.18, 0.66)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.20, 1.02)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.16, 0.98)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.19 (−0.37, 0.75)</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol 3-phosphate</td>
<td>0 (ref.)</td>
<td>0.31 (−0.10, 0.72)</td>
<td>0.11 (−0.29, 0.52)</td>
<td>0.27 (−0.14, 0.67)</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.49 (−0.05, 1.04)</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Models adjusted for age (years), sex (male, female), intervention group (MedDiet + extra-virgin olive oil, MedDiet + nuts), BMI (kg/m²), smoking (never, current, former), leisure-time physical activity (metabolic equivalent tasks in minutes per day), dyslipidemia, hypertension, and baseline fasting glucose. Multivariable linear regression models were used. TCA, tricarboxylic acid.

Moreover, the increased insulin sensitivity observed after weight loss programs has also been accompanied by reductions in plasma lactate concentrations (36). There is a well-known link between circulating lactate and glucose homeostasis because lactate is a precursor of hepatic gluconeogenesis, potentially enhancing the endogenous glucose production. It has also been shown that plasma lactate transported through MCT1 in the adipose tissue (38) and mediate inhibition of lipolysis through the activation of G protein-coupled receptors in adipocytes (39). The importance of plasma lactate in metabolism has been reinforced after the observation that this metabolite is the major carbon source to mitochondrial TCA in most of the peripheral tissues (40, 41).

We found that both baseline selected TCA cycle–related metabolites and their 1-y changes were associated with higher T2D risk. Impaired TCA flux in insulin-resistant human skeletal muscle has been suggested as one of the characteristics of the diabetic phenotype (42, 43). Mitochondrial aconitase converts citrate to isocitrate via aconitate, which is a highly sensitive enzyme biomarker of age-related oxidative damage, a process widely linked to hyperglycemia (44). Interestingly, the TCA cycle metabolites isocitrate, aconitate, and malate have been reported to be involved in the metabolomic signature of human aging (45). Both malate and isocitrate are involved in the pyruvate–citrate cycle through malic enzyme oxidizing malate to pyruvate or through the cytosolic isocitrate dehydrogenase converting isocitrate to α-ketoglutarate, and such reactions participate in NAD(P)H production which is critical in the cellular antioxidant defense system. In our study, we found that 1-y changes of isocitrate and malate were only associated with a higher risk of T2D in the control group but not in the MedDiet intervention groups. This finding suggests that the MedDiet could counteract the detrimental effects associated with an increase in these metabolites. In fact, the MedDiet is an antioxidant-rich diet that may prevent cellular aging through a reduced intracellular oxidative stress (46).

Glucoseogenesis from amino acids (mainly via the glucose–alanine cycle) contributes ≤40% of the non-glycogen-derived hepatic glucose production (47–49). Alanine showed the strongest association with HOMA-IR index among 285 candidate metabolites in prepubertal children (50). Alanine is directly connected to pyruvate through a reaction of amino transferase catalyzed by alanine aminotransferase (pyruvate is the 2-oxoacid of alanine) and circulating alanine has been proposed as an indicator of pyruvate (the 2-oxoacid of alanine) production (51). As is well known, pyruvate is the precursor of lactate through the lactate dehydrogenase reaction. Malate can also be derived from pyruvate through the anaplerotic reaction catalyzed via oxalacetate through the pyruvate–malate shuttle. One study showed synchronous increments of circulating lactate, pyruvate,
proportional hazards Cox regression models were used. dyslipidemia, hypertension, and baseline fasting glucose (mean alanine, and malate after glucose loads (10). Our results did not

Malate 210 58 1.51 (1.06, 2.17) 446 99 1.03 (0.79, 1.33) 0.042 0.169
Fumarate 209 58 1.33 (0.94, 1.88) 446 99 1.35 (1.05, 1.73) 0.429 0.857
Isocitrate 210 58 2.96 (1.87, 4.68) 446 99 1.13 (0.89, 1.44) 0.025 0.169
Aconitate 210 58 2.14 (1.45, 3.17) 446 99 1.54 (1.15, 2.06) 0.945 0.945
Citrate 210 58 1.53 (1.12, 2.11) 446 99 1.14 (0.90, 1.45) 0.118 0.314
3-Phosphoglycerate 210 58 2.01 (1.39, 2.91) 445 99 1.44 (1.03, 2.00) 0.876 0.945

1Models adjusted for baseline metabolites (or metabolite score), age (years), sex (male, female), intervention group (MedDiet + extra-virgin olive oil, MedDiet + nuts), BMI (kg/m2), smoking (never, current, former), leisure-time physical activity (metabolic equivalent tasks in minutes per day), dyslipidemia, hypertension, and baseline fasting glucose (mean + quadratic term of centered mean) and stratified by recruitment center. TCA, tricarboxylic acid; T2D, type 2 diabetes.

2An inverse normal transformation was applied to raw values.

3False discovery rate–corrected P values.

4Weighted sum of all metabolites (using regression coefficients as weights after applying the leave-one-out cross-validation approach). Weighted proportional hazards Cox regression models were used.

alanine, and malate after glucose loads (10). Our results did not show an association between baseline plasma malate and T2D risk but we found an association between 1-y increase of malate and T2D risk in the control group.

Glycerol-3-phosphate, involved in the gluconeogenesis from glycerol, is part of the glycerol-3-phosphate shuttle and a critical intermediate in the synthesis of glycerolipids. The importance of glycerol-3-phosphate in glucose homeostasis is proposed given the observation that overexpression of the glycerol-3 phosphate acyltransferase 1 enzyme converting glycerol-3-phosphate to lysophosphatidic acid causes hepatic insulin resistance (52). In addition, inhibition of glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase by metformin may reduce gluconeogenesis from glycerol and disrupt the cytosolic NAD(H):NAD+ ratio, blocking the use of lactate as a gluconeogenic precursor (53).

Several strengths and limitations of the present study deserve comment. First, we used an efficient case-cohort design nested in a large long-term intervention trial to study a hard clinical endpoint and its association with multiple plasma metabolites quantified by a validated LC–tandem MS platform. Second, the main novelty and uniqueness of the present study is the use of repeated measurements of metabolites after 1 y and the possibility to appraise the effect modification by a well-defined dietary intervention. Third, this is a longitudinal analysis with a relatively long follow-up, a well-characterized population, and we used blinded assessment of incident T2D cases by a clinical adjudication committee. Although the analyses were adjusted for several potential confounders, the possibility of residual or unmeasured confounding cannot be discounted and reduces our ability to draw causal conclusions. Moreover, departures from individual random assignment in a subset of the trial participants could affect our results related with differences between the intervention and control groups (15, 54). However, our results were very similar after using robust estimates of the variance to correct for potential intracluster correlations and adjusting for propensity scores to account for small imbalances in baseline covariables. We acknowledge the limitation derived from the reduced sample size used for pyruvate and hexose diphosphate due to missing values. In addition, a potential technical limitation might be related to possible spurious elevations of lactate or pyruvate (and less likely for other metabolites) because of recent physical activity, the procedure for blood drawing, or preanalytical treatments (51). However, there is no reason to think that these procedures may have differentially affected participants who years later developed T2D and when we repeated the analyses with a metabolite score without pyruvate and hexose diphosphate, the association between the metabolite score and T2D became even stronger. Our findings may not be generalizable to other populations and T2D was a defined secondary endpoint and not the primary endpoint of the PREDIMED trial.

Our results provide a deeper understanding of specific metabolic pathways related to circulating glycolysis/gluconeogenesis and TCA cycle metabolites in relation with insulin resistance and T2D, and how a MedDiet might modulate the association of these metabolites with T2D risk. In addition, it may shed light into the biological interconnections between Mediterranean dietary interventions, changes in metabolomics profiles, and the risk of T2D. Altogether, it may facilitate the development of preventive and early diagnostic strategies for curbing the T2D epidemic and the adverse consequences of diabetes.

In conclusion, we have identified a panel of glycolysis/gluconeogenesis- and TCA cycle–related metabolites that was significantly associated with T2D risk in a Mediterranean population at high CVD risk.
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